

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 08/914,868
 08/19/97
 BJORNARD
 E
 07041/106001

MM11/0405

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP PILLSBURY CENTER SOUTH 220 SOUTH SIXTH STREET MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402-1498 **EXAMINER** CHANG, A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2872

DATE MAILED:

04/05/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 08/914,868

Applicant(s)

Bjornard et al

Advisory Action Examiner

Audrey Chang

Group Art Unit

2872



тня	= PFRIO	D FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) 🗍 (expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
		expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date on v determin calculate	nsion of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of which the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be differ the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appella	nt's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on(or within any or response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
۸nı	nlicant's	s response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Mar 16, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The pro	posed amendment(s):
	☐ will	be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	X will	not be entered because:
Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
X they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).		
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	ТОИ	E: The proposed amendment to the claims includes phrases such as "comprising one of said two layers",
		"comprising the other of two claims" that seems to change the four-layered articles to have more than roun
		layers which are not supported by the specification and requires further considerations.
	□ Ар	plicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	_	
X	separa	proposed or amended claims 35-37 would be allowable if submitted in a stee, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
X		ffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because:
	<u>Applic</u>	owance because: cant's arguments are not persuasive to overcome the rejections.
	The a	ffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by caminer in the final rejection.
X	For pu	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
		s allowed: 9, 10, 19-22, 31, 32, 38, and 39
	Claim	s objected to: 35-37, 41, and 42
	Claim	s rejected: <u>1-5, 7, 8, 11-15, 17, 18, 33, 34, 40, and 43-48</u>
	The p	roposed drawing correction filed on has has not been adbroved by the Examiner.
	Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
	Other	
		Thông Nguyên Primary Examiner